





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,315	02/08/2001	April Patricia Rasala	Rasala 3-21	4552
23506	7590 10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
GARDNER GROFF, P.C.			PAYNE, DAVID C	
PAPER MILL VILLAGE, BUILDING 23 600 VILLAGE TRACE SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2633	
MARIETTA	, GA 30067	DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	icant(s)			
		09/779,31	5	RASALA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		David C. F		2633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 July 2004</u> .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>17 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
Application	on Papers						
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) 🔲 -	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	(s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/779,315

Art Unit: 2633

À

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's argument with respect to claim 18 has been considered but is not persuasive.
 Shiragaki disclosed choosing the path of routing as based on the need to change wavelengths, see below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Shiragaki US 5,457,556 (Shiragaki).

Re claim(s) 16

Shiragaki disclosed: "A computer program", "computer readable medium" or "code for controlling operations" (col./.line: 11/30-50).

code for controlling oppratings of a first (Figure 5, 13 - left), a second (Figure 5, 13 - right),

Application/Control Number: 09/779,315

Art Unit: 2633

j

Page 3

and a third (Figure 5 #10) fabric and k wavelength interchangers (Figure 5, 55) of the WDM cross-connect device, the code determining whether or not a demand requires a change in wavelength, wherein if the code determined that a demand requires a change in wavelength, the code causes the demand to be routed through the first fabric, through at leas one of said k wavelength interchange and through said second fabric, and thru the fabric if no change is necessary.

Shiragaki does not disclose wherein the controller is coupled to said first, second fabrics and to said at least one wavelength interchanger, the controller being configured to execute a routing algorithm that causes a demand that requires a change of wavelength to be routed through at least one of said at least one wavelength interchanger. The controller for illustration purposes is only shown in Figures 2 and 11, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to attach the controller to the corresponding components in Figure 5 so that the network would be able to modify routes and switch signals in the network as is commonly practiced. Furthermore, Shiragaki does not show a single pass-through third switch fabric (10) but two such fabrics. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to integrate the two switches into a single switch to simply architecture control. Making parts separable is not patentable over the prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-15 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2633

5. Claims 17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Payne whose telephone number is (571) 272-3024. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7a-4p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/779,315

Art Unit: 2633

D.C. Curror

David C. Payne

Patent Examiner

AU 2633